

Semester 2 Summer Examinations, 2003/2004
Front Page Template

Exam Code(s)	<u>2BA1, 2BA6, IOA1, 1EM1</u>
Exam(s)	<u>2nd Arts</u>
Module Code(s)	<u>SP215</u>
Module(s)	<u>Modern Political Thought</u>
Paper No.	<u> </u>
Repeat Paper	<u> </u> Special Paper <u> </u>
External Examiner(s)	<u>Professor Kevin Leyden</u>
Internal Examiner(s)	<u>Professor Chris Curtin</u>
	<u>Dr. Pete Morriss</u>

Instructions:

Candidates must answer TWO questions.

Duration	<u>2hs</u>
No. of Answer books	<u>1</u>

Requirements:

Handout	<u> </u>
MCQ	<u> </u>
Statistical Tables	<u> </u>
Graph Paper	<u> </u>
Log Graph Paper	<u> </u>
Other Material	<u> </u>

No. of Pages	<u>2</u>
Department(s)	<u>Political Science & Sociology</u>

MODERN POLITICAL THOUGHT

EXAMINATION - Summer 2004

Time Allowed: Two Hours

Candidates should answer TWO Questions.

1. EITHER
(a) Assess the social contract approach in political theory.
OR
(b) "Although Hobbes's *Leviathan* and Locke's *Second Treatise of Government* have the same logical structure - state of nature, social contract, and so on - they advocate very different political conclusions." Explain how this occurs. Which argument is more successful?
2. EITHER
(a) Does utilitarianism give a persuasive account of what states should do?
OR
(b) How did J.S. Mill's utilitarianism differ from Bentham's utilitarianism? To what extent are these differences improvements?
3. Compare and contrast the ideals of democracy and of republicanism.
4. Do any of the theories looked at in this course succeed in refuting anarchism?